CONTENTS

I  INTRODUCTION ................................................................. 1

II  SPECIAL PROCEDURES:
    A CORNERSTONE OF HUMAN RIGHTS INVESTIGATION ...................... 5

1. A BRIEF HISTORICAL OVERVIEW: FROM INACTION TO ACTION ............ 5
   1.1 Abandoning the Doctrine of Inaction ............................... 6
   1.2 An Evolving Process of Action ..................................... 9

2. SPECIAL PROCEDURES: METHODS AND GUIDING PRINCIPLES OF WORK ...... 11
   2.1 Guarantees for Effective Fact-Finding .............................. 11
   2.2 Defining a Special Rapporteur ...................................... 13
      2.2.1 The Result of an Unjust Political Process .................. 14
      2.2.2 The Unclear Relationship between the Special
           Rapporteurs and the Commission ............................. 16
      2.2.3 The Question of Immunity ................................... 18
   2.3 Principles Guiding the Work of Special Rapporteurs ................. 19
      2.3.1 Impartiality, Non-Selectivity and Objectivity ............ 19
      2.3.2 Immunity as United Nations Experts ........................ 21
      2.3.3 Raising Awareness of Human Rights .......................... 22
   2.4 Fact-Finding Missions ............................................... 23
      2.4.1 The Importance of in situ Visits ............................ 23
      2.4.2 Ensuring Objectivity and Impartiality ..................... 26
      2.4.3 The Beginning of a Dialogue and a Follow-Up
           to Recommendations ........................................... 27
   2.5 Unlimited Use of Information Gathered by Others .................... 31
      2.5.1 The Variety of Sources ........................................ 31
      2.5.2 Governments ................................................... 32
      2.5.3 Non-Governmental Organizations .............................. 33
   2.6 No Country Is Immune from Scrutiny ................................ 34
III Threats Relating to the Principles Guiding the Work of Special Rapporteurs .......................................................... 40

3. The Independence of a Special Rapporteur .................................................. 40
   3.1 Provisions Concerning Independence ................................................. 40
   3.2 Independence vis-à-vis the Commission on Human Rights .............. 42
      3.2.1 The Correction of a Report .................................................... 43
      3.2.2 Conditions for a Visit ........................................................... 46
   3.3 A Code of Conduct ................................................................. 48
      3.3.1 Is There a Need for a Code of Conduct? ................................ 48
      3.3.2 The Interest of the United Nations .................................... 51
      3.3.3 Instructions with regard to the Performance of Duties ............. 53
   3.4 The Role of the Media .............................................................. 54
      3.4.1 Attempt to Limit Access to the Media ................................... 55
      3.4.2 The Normal Course of a Rapporteur’s Duties ........................ 56
   3.5 Conclusion .................................................................................. 58

4. The Immunity of a Special Rapporteur .................................................... 60
   4.1 The Difference Relating to Immunity from Legal Process of a Special Rapporteur of the Commission on Human Rights:
      The Case of Dato’ Param Cumaraswamy ........................................ 62
         4.1.1 Background ................................................................... 63
         4.1.2 The Scope of the Dispute ................................................. 67
         4.1.3 The Advisory Opinion ..................................................... 70
         4.1.4 Who Is to Decide whether Words Are Spoken in the Course of a Mandate? ....................................................... 71
            4.1.4.1 United Nations: The Secretary-General Has the Exclusive Right ......................................................... 71
            4.1.4.2 Malaysia: The Secretary-General May Only Waive the Immunity ...................................................... 75
            4.1.4.3 The Interests of the United Nations ............................. 78
         4.1.5 The Scope of Immunity ...................................................... 79
   4.2 Other Infringements of the Immunity of a Rapporteur ..................... 80
      4.2.1 The Arrest and Search of an Officer Assisting the Investigative Team ......................................................... 81
      4.2.2 A Special Rapporteur Being Subjected to Personal Attacks .......... 82
   4.3 Conclusion .................................................................................. 83
IV  THE POLITICIZATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS: LIMITING THE WORK OF SPECIAL RAPPORTEURS .............................. 85

5. REFUSAL TO COOPERATE WITH THE SPECIAL PROCEDURES ................. 87
   5.1 Denying a Rapporteur Entry into a Country ............................... 88
      5.1.1 The Case of the Democratic Republic of the Congo ........ 90
      5.1.2 The Case of Cuba ........................................ 92
      5.1.3 The Case of Iran ....................................... 94
      5.1.4 The Case of Iraq ...................................... 96
      5.1.5 The Case of Israel .................................... 97
   5.2 Denying a Rapporteur the Possibility to Meet with Persons He or She Wishes .......... 98
      5.2.1 The Case of the United States of America ................. 99
      5.2.2 The Case of Nigeria .................................. 100
      5.2.3 The Case of Myanmar ................................ 101
   5.3 ‘Silent’ Governments: Not Responding to Requests for a Visit or for Information ........................................ 103
   5.4 Reports May Have Limited or No Influence on the Commission ................. 105
      5.4.1 A Report Containing a Warning of Forthcoming Massacres Gets No Attention ........ 106
      5.4.2 Resolutions which Are in Contradiction with Reports ...... 109
      5.4.3 Should Rapporteurs Be Involved in the Drafting of Resolutions? ................ 113
      5.4.4 A Rapporteur Resigns due to Inaction by the International Community ........ 114
      5.4.5 An Expert Member Resigns due to Lack of Will to Solve the Fate of Missing Persons ........ 117
   5.5 Conclusion .................................................................. 118

6. THE EFFECTS ON A MANDATE ........................................ 121
   6.1 Establishing a Country-Specific Mandate .............................. 122
      6.1.1 The Case of Iraq ...................................... 125
      6.1.2 The Case of Cuba .................................... 130
      6.1.3 The Case of the United States of America ............... 134
      6.1.4 The Case of Israel .................................... 138
      6.1.5 The Case of Argentina ................................ 139
6.2 The Length of a Mandate ........................................ 144
  6.2.1 Should Mandates Be More Permanent? .................. 146
  6.2.2 The Time When a Mandate Is Not in Force ............ 149
6.3 Mandates which Prejudge or Limit the Outcome of Findings .... 151
  6.3.1 Country-Specific Mandates .......................... 152
  6.3.2 Thematic Mandates ................................. 155
6.4 Conclusion ............................................... 160

7. STRUCTURAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE LIMITATIONS ............ 163
  7.1 The Length of Reports ...................................... 163
  7.2 The Lack of Adequate Resources ............................. 165
    7.2.1 The Imbalance between Resources and the Number of
         Mandates ........................................ 165
    7.2.2 Human Resources .................................. 168
    7.2.3 Financial Resources .................................. 172
    7.2.4 Voluntary Contributions ............................. 176
  7.3 Conclusion ............................................... 179

V SPECIAL PROCEDURES AND UNITED NATIONS TREATY
MONITORING BODIES ........................................... 181

8. BUILDING A WORKING RELATIONSHIP ................................. 183
  8.1 Enhancing Cooperation .............................................. 183
    8.1.1 Regular Contacts between a Committee and
          a Rapporteur ........................................ 187
    8.1.2 Reports before a Committee Are Given to
          a Rapporteur for Comments ............................. 189
    8.1.3 A Committee in an Important Role When a Mandate
          of a Rapporteur is Established .......................... 190
    8.1.4 Negligence by a Rapporteur towards the Work
          of a Committee ......................................... 192
    8.1.5 A Committee Reminds Governments of
          the Importance of Rapporteurs .......................... 194
    8.1.6 A Committee Recommends to Rapporteurs
          the Inclusion in a Report of a Chapter on Children ........ 195
  8.2 Individual Communications ................................ 195
    8.2.1 Taking up Cases ......................................... 197
    8.2.2 Delay Caused by a Simultaneous Handling of a Case .... 198
8.3 Urgent Action ............................................. 200
  8.3.1 Urgent Action and the Special Procedures ........... 200
  8.3.2 Urgent Action and the Treaty Bodies ............... 203
8.4 Choice of Countries which Are Being Investigated ....... 206
  8.4.1 Which Countries Are Visited by the Thematic Rapporteurs? .................................................. 206
  8.4.2 Is There a Need for Coordination with respect to Countries which Are Investigated? .................. 209
8.5 Conclusion ............................................... 211

9. STATE COOPERATION WITH TREATY BODIES AND WITH SPECIAL PROCEDURES:
A COMPARISON ..................................................... 212
  9.1 Do States Have an Obligation to Cooperate with the Special Procedures? ........................................... 214
    9.1.1 The Charter of the United Nations .................... 215
    9.1.2 The Political Cost of Non-Cooperation .............. 219
  9.2 Patterns of Cooperation ..................................... 221
    9.2.1 The Case of Cuba ................................... 222
    9.2.2 The Case of Iraq .................................... 224
    9.2.3 The Case of Algeria ................................... 226
    9.2.4 The Case of Afghanistan ............................. 228
    9.2.5 The Case of the United States of America ........... 229
    9.2.6 The Case of Myanmar ................................. 230
  9.3 Conclusion ............................................... 231

VI PRESERVING AND STRENGTHENING THE SPECIAL PROCEDURES .......... 233

10. ENHANCING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE SPECIAL PROCEDURES ............ 234
  10.1 Increasing Coordination and Cooperation between the Special Procedures ........................................... 235
    10.1.1 Annual Meeting of Rapporteurs ........................ 236
    10.1.2 Joint Activities ..................................... 238
  10.2 More Attention on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights .... 241
    10.2.1 Calling for One Rapporteur ........................... 242
    10.2.2 Tackling the Imbalance ............................... 243
  10.3 Including a Gender Perspective in the Work of Rapporteurs ...... 244
  10.4 Conclusion ............................................... 247
11. REVIEWING THE SPECIAL PROCEDURES ...................................................... 248
   11.1 Conflicting Interests Leading to an Almost Endless Review .... 248
   11.2 The Fear of a Review: The Reviewing Process Is Postponed .... 251
   11.3 Difficulties in Keeping the Momentum Going ......................... 253
      11.3.1 Agreement on a Modest Number of Issues Only ........... 255
      11.3.2 Establishing an Inter-Sessional Process ....................... 257
   11.4 Selected Issues Left for the Inter-Sessional Process .......... 259
      11.4.1 Rationalization of Special Procedure Mandates ............. 259
         11.4.1.1 Working Group or Rapporteur? ...................... 261
         11.4.1.2 Consolidating Mandates ............................. 263
         11.4.1.3 Special Procedures and Other Mechanisms
            Covering Similar Issues ................................. 267
      11.4.2 The Mandate-Holder ............................................ 269
         11.4.2.1 The Selection of a Mandate-Holder ........................ 270
         11.4.2.2 The Tenure of a Mandate-Holder ........................ 273
         11.4.2.3 Independence and Impartiality .......................... 275
         11.4.2.4 Encouraging the Appointment of Women ............... 276
         11.4.2.5 Geographical Balance ................................. 278
         11.4.2.6 The Remuneration of a Mandate-Holder ................. 279
      11.4.3 Enhancing Cooperation with the Special Procedures ...... 280
   11.5 Conclusion .............................................................. 283

VII CONCLUDING OBSERVATIONS .......................................................... 285

12. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES ................................................................. 285
   12.1 Strong but Vulnerable ...................................................... 285
   12.2 Placing All States under Scrutiny ..................................... 287
   12.3 A Need for a Depoliticization of Human Rights .................. 289

Bibliography .......................................................... 291

Annex I: Chart illustrating the growth in the number of
special procedure mandates between 1980 and 2001 .................. 295
Annex II: Country-specific and thematic special procedure
mandates established by the Commission on Human Rights .......... 296

Annex III: Country visits by thematic special rapporteurs and
working groups between 1990 and 2000 ........................................ 298

Annex IV: Tables clarifying whether the country missions by a special
rapporteur between 1990 and 2000 were to States Parties or non-State
Parties of the treaties relevant to the mandate of the rapporteur .......... 302

Annex V: Ratification of the main United Nations human rights
treaties by selected states with respect to which the Commission on
Human Rights has established a rapporteur mandate ........................ 304

Annex VI: Table showing the frequency of votes required in the
Commission on Human Rights when adopting resolutions, between
1990 and 2000, regarding mandates of country-specific rapporteurs
established since 1990 or currently in force ................................. 305

Annex VII: Chart and table showing the number of urgent appeals
sent by the Special Rapporteurs on extrajudicial, summary or
arbitrary executions and on questions relevant to torture and
the Working Groups on enforced or involuntary disappearances
and on arbitrary detention between 1989 and 1999 ....................... 306

Annex VIII: Countries which have left a request for a country visit
by a thematic rapporteur or working group unanswered in 1997
and 1998 .................................................................................. 307